From: Your name

Street Address

Town/Suburb State Postcode

To: Shane Patton doing business as;

Shane PATTON Chief Commissioner

Victoria Police

Victoria Police Centre

311 Spencer Street

Docklands Victoria 3008

Date served: Mailing date

*Sent by recorded post.*

Dear Shane Patton,

I am writing to urgently address a matter of great importance following your recent public announcement regarding the conduct of Victoria Police failing to meet community standards. Given the significance of this situation, and that it affects the entire Victorian population, it is crucial to establish a clear understanding of how ‘community standards of policing’ are defined. Would you not agree that the moral principles ingrained in the oath taken by a police constable on the day of graduation from the academy, serve as the enduring and binding standards that delineate the thin blue line separating lawful conduct from criminal behaviour?

The purpose of this letter is to extend a gesture of goodwill and to provide you with the opportunity to offer a detailed explanation regarding the operations of Victoria Police. It is concerning that the operation standards appear to be exceeding the authority granted to the specific division of public servants responsible for maintaining peace in a just and impartial manner, as intended by the original system of service that Australia is meant to operate from.

Furthermore, building upon the previous point, I aim to highlight what my research has revealed about the correct authority vested in police constables when they take their oath of office, which involves pledging allegiance to the highest-ranking public servant of the entire Commonwealth. This allegiance carries substantial implications for your role as a representative entrusted with the administration of justice in nations under Commonwealth jurisdiction. As the holder of the highest rank within the office of constable in Victoria, it is essential for you to fulfil your duty by providing the public you serve with accurate and concise knowledge, addressing any inaccuracies that may have arisen. By doing so, you can ensure transparency, uphold public trust, and fulfil your responsibilities as a custodian of justice.

Based on my investigations, I have found that none of the police constables I have encountered are willing to affirm their commitment to their constable oath. Additionally, the majority of the constables I have contacted seem to be unaware of their role as a public servant. Moreover, they are unable to provide a definition of impartiality nor are they capable of explaining the fundamental principles of universal morality, which they are required to uphold through their oath. This raises the question of how it is possible for the thousands of constables under your jurisdiction to be completely unaware of the boundaries of their authority, which is no greater than that of any individual community member. After all, the police are part of the community, and the community is part of the police. Is that not correct?

Adherence to the oath of office without deviation is the cornerstone that empowers constables to embody the concept of ‘policing by consent’. It is through unwavering commitment to this oath that true authority is bestowed. However, let it be known that anyone who claims to ‘police by consent’ yet lacks knowledge of their solemn oath, can only resort to policing by force, a flagrant act of terrorism. Given your most senior position within Victoria Police, you would be more than acquainted with the verity that the oath is not a mere formality; it is the foundation upon which the principles of justice, fairness, and trust are upheld, ensuring the safety and harmony of our society. Deviation from this oath undermines the very essence of ‘policing by consent’, leaving only tyranny in its wake.

I extend to you an opportunity to present irrefutable evidence supporting your claim that you and Victoria Police ‘police by consent’. I request a detailed explanation, in writing, highlighting the distinction between legislation and law, and how Victoria Police, who are sworn to uphold the law, not legislation, can justify policing by consent. Additionally, please elucidate how the executive branch, as one of the three branches of government, ensures checks and balances while following the orders of the legislative branch.

What is becoming evident is that you, Shane Patton, head up a paramilitary force that demonstrates no allegiance to the people that they are sworn to serve because they are not constables standing under oath, but employees of a foreign-owned corporation that has no authority to engage in matters of law enforcement within Victoria. What is your response to this?

With this in mind, I require you, Shane Patton, acting as Chief Commissioner of Victoria Police, to provide me with a comprehensive written explanation regarding the presence of an ABN (63 446 481 493) associated with Victoria Police. It is imperative that you fulfil your duty to the public by explaining how a public service entity was incorporated in 1999 and registered for GST on July 1, 2000, without the public’s knowledge or consent. Transparency and accountability are paramount in maintaining public trust. Additionally, I seek clarification on the reason behind Victoria Police being listed as “POLICE DEPARTMENT VIC” with Dun and Bradstreet, a credit reporting agency primarily serving corporations. Your detailed response is necessary to remove the suspicion that comes with secrecy in order to foster the culture of openness and transparency which you claim to seek.

With each passing day that the aforementioned matters remain hidden from the public, the problems outlined in your recent media release, including predatory behaviour, sexual harassment, homophobia, racism, drug use, drink driving, and family violence will persist unchecked, serving as mere symptoms of a broader systemic issue. The lack of awareness among the public regarding Victoria Police being a paramilitary force, devoid of allegiance to the people it should serve, coupled with their non-existent understanding that your employees are not constables upholding their oath of public service, but rather individuals employed by a foreign-owned corporation with no moral or lawful authority to enforce laws in Victoria, grants you unrestricted power to exploit and take advantage of the trust vested in you by the people of Victoria. Do you agree that it is crucial that these concealed truths are brought to light, fostering transparency, and holding accountable those responsible for upholding justice and public welfare?

The concerns I am addressing today highlight the distressing evidence that points towards a significant absence of genuine individuals holding lawful positions as constables, responsible for upholding the laws and preserving the safety of all Victorians. Instead, what seems to exist is a collective entity comprising of individuals who impersonate constables and employ terrorist tactics of intimidation and violent force to impose a form of slavery upon the people of Victoria. As the Chief Commissioner of Victoria Police, operating under the name of Shane PATTON, is it not you that is ultimately responsible for these reprehensible violations of the law?

The alarming concerns I am raising with you today are to do with the overwhelming evidence that supports there being a vacuum of individual lawful office holders of constable enforcing the laws of morality that keep the community safe within the landmass known as Victoria. In its place, the people of Victoria are subject to a monolith made up of individual domestic terrorists personating constables as a means to impose slavery by intimidation and violent force and being that you, Shane Patton, are doing business as Chief Commissioner of Victoria Police, would it not mean that responsibility for the above-mentioned unconscionable violations of law ultimately rests with you?

The war crimes committed by every member of your organisation who issued fines, penalty and infringement notices, set up roadblocks, enforced home detention, forcibly dispersed people from public spaces, and engaged in brutal violence against individuals defending their fundamental rights of freedom of travel, association, assembly, choice, and bodily autonomy during the alleged COVID-19 pandemic, stands firmly imprinted in the minds of not only the populace that endured it, but all those who viewed it across the country and the world.

Furthermore, any constable who, despite not being directly involved in the violence, fails to arrest individuals wearing Victoria Police uniforms committing crimes, is neglecting their sworn duty and is considered complicit in these crimes by their refusal to apprehend the guilty parties. What is your response to this?

In the many press conferences conducted by you during the past three years, you are on record as openly admitting to commissioning the use of violent force, endangering lives by smashing car windows and destroying private property of individuals who challenge your claim of right to violate their inviolable individual rights. Furthermore, you refer to those who defend their individual rights, a duty you are sworn to uphold as a public servant, as ‘sovereign citizens’, using an oxymoron as a slanderous label.

This brings to light a concerning list of criminal offences for which you as Commissioner of Police are ultimately liable. These offences include, but are not limited to, defamation of character, reckless endangerment, grievous bodily harm, assault, assault with a deadly weapon, false arrest, wrongful imprisonment, destruction of private property, harassment and intimidation, extortion, obstruction of justice, malfeasance in public office, duplicity, and misprision of treason. What is your response to this and does your indemnity insurance cover you and your fellow constables for the aforementioned crimes?

Included in your explanation, it is crucial to provide a comprehensive assessment of how the integrity of the constabulary has been infiltrated and subverted in secret. Additionally, please clarify how Victoria Police transformed into the armed enforcement division of a for-profit corporation with a charter to use lethal and violent force to extort money with menaces from the very people you are entrusted to protect, and to drive the prison industry. Moreover, it is essential to address the distinction between the actions of Victoria Police and the war crime of human trafficking.

I require you and only you Shane Patton, to refute each false statement contained within point for point and in substance, in a dated written reply by post within no more than ten (10) days of receipt of this letter, accompanied by your legibly written full name and wet ink signature on your full commercial liability and penalty of perjury.

Sincerely

Your name