

[Your Name]

[Street Address]

[Town/Suburb State Postcode]

To: [Initial Recipient Name] doing business as;

[Name followed by Job Title]

[Business/gov dept]

[Street address]

[Town/Suburb State Postcode]

C/o [New Recipient Full Name] doing business as;

[Name followed by Job Title]

[Business/gov dept]

[Street address]

[Town/Suburb State Postcode]

Date Notice Served: [Mailing date]

*Sent by recorded post.*

Dear [New Recipients Full Name]

I, [Your Name] do instruct you to pass on this 'Notice of [Name of next notice in sequence] and cover letter on to [Initial Recipient Name] with regard to a matter concerning [state the matter].

I received a letter from [New Recipient Full Name] dated [date of reply].   
The response to the [Name of notice] that I had previously served, dated [date previous notice sent] contained a seditious reply.

I am collecting the evidence of your Sedition and I would like to remind you that the Penalty for Sedition at Common Law is a Full Asset Stripping and Life in Prison.

**Maxim in Law Ignorance of the Law is No Excuse**

I urge you to consider Nuremberg **Eichmann vs the people.** I was just doing my job is **No Defence.** As evidenced at those trials.

'Law' ONLY relates to the Common Laws created for the people by the people under the constitution. Laws MUST be granted by the people (Jurors) within properly empanelled courts of law to become law. Everything else relates to corporate rules which are today treasonous this includes the ‘legislation’ you falsely claim to be ‘law,’ as government cannot make law, only legislation which must fall within the confines of the universal principles of morality for it to be passed into what we call law by the highest public servant in the commonwealth (monarch) and she was removed by the barons committee according to correct constitutional protocols in March 2001. Do you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] deny this as a fact in law?  
  
Legislation unlike law can be amended or repealed hence NOT forever binding. The law of the land created by the people sets the limits to what government is allowed to do and the consequences for each officer of the crown for breaching their contract of service. It is forever binding on officers of the crown unless changed by the people, which has never happened, because the law of the land upholds the universal principles of morality upon which the people agree to live by as the supreme code of conduct of the land. Do you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] deny this as a fact in law?

Furthermore, to hold authority in a legitimate system of service to the people that we the people agreed to live under, requires an officer of the crown to swear an oath of office. Do you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] deny this as a fact in law?

The oath of office is a pledge each officer of the crown must take in order to have the authority granted them by we the people, as the oath is a statement of intention to make decisions on behalf of the people that fall within the confines of universal morality. Do you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] deny this as a fact in law?

A public servant must be able to recite and or provide their oath of office to we the people upon request. Do you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] deny this as a fact in law?

I [Your name] require you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] to send me a copy of your oath of office within 10 working days to verify that you are indeed a lawful officer of the crown making decisions on behalf of we the people that fall within the confines of the universal principles of morality.

Should you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] fail to adhere to the terms of the contract that binds agents and officers of the crown (public servants) by providing your oath of office in writing that proves you have taken a pledge to conduct yourself within the confines of the universal principles of morality, your silence shall be taken as evidence that you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] are acting immorally therefore are criminally liable for violations of the universal principles of morality along with being personally liable for crimes against we the people while masquerading as an officer of the crown occupying public office. Do you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] deny this as a fact in law?

My Constitutional LAWFUL Notices are to Inform and Educate you on the consequences for you personally [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name] in your private and unlimited capacity as enshrined in English and Commonwealth Law of which Australia is bound to, should you persist to carry on making demands that coerce me to aid and abet Treason. I realise that you will not recognise my LAWFUL Notices as you are operating as a Foreign Corporation and have no Lawful Standing or Authority since the Invocation of Article 61 according to Constitutional Royal Protocol on March 23rd, 2001. My LAWFUL Notices CLEARLY State WITHOUT Malice, Vexation, or Ill Will.

The People throughout the Commonwealth WILL continue to Collect the Evidence as the LAW Demands. We all have a Constitution Duty to Stand under Article 61 once it has been Invoked. The law clearly states that anyone NOT standing Under Article 61 is Aiding and Abetting a Treasonous Regime.

All Those Acting against the Sovereign People of the Commonwealth WILL Stand Trial before a Jury empanelled with the sovereign people fully conversant with their constitutional responsibilities under English Commonwealth Law, at a later date.

Any action taken by you [Initial Recipients Full Name and New Recipients Full Name], against me will constitute as High Treason, which still carries the Gallows. Tony Blair’s Attempt to repeal the 1795 Treason Act was in Fact an act of High Treason. Thus, it Still Stands.

Act[s] of mercy - ATTENTION agents / officers / clerks – signing documentation...only doing my job...BEFORE you put your own signature/autograph to a document demanding or denying 'money' from/to a private man or woman – ask yourself this: Is there a possibility this private man or woman knows something about commercial liens that I don't? Does my boss delegate this task to me because it gets him/her off the hook? Is he/she going to pay for any claims made against me? Am I insured for any misconduct or damages I may cause a private man or woman? Am I at risk when I sign this company document on a letterhead?

Why doesn't my boss or the owner of this company sign these documents?

I wish to avoid taking action against men and women acting on behalf of their boss, yet I will address such documentation and take necessary steps to protect myself from unlawful demands that violate my inalienable right to live peacefully free from molestation.

To whom it may concern' in order to protect you from possible commercial liens...why would you put your homes and personal property at risk by signing for your boss? Think about it. Are you just a pawn in the game? Yes - you are if you are signing documents blindly. You have been warned - as an act of mercy...because we operate with full commercial liability under penalty of perjury. Do you?

Signed: