

Magistrate/Judge Questions

The following is a list of questions based on self-evident truths as they exist in reality to ask any man or woman claiming the moral authority to be the sole judge of your fate.

Caution

Before relying on this as a script to read out word for word in the fake courts consider this. There are a growing number of Magistrates and Judges that are hypersensitive about having their fake authority exposed therefore intolerant to people that read out written statements as they derive a perverted thrill out of the dual of being challenged as a means to assert their dominance. Although they will do their best to shut you down rapidly some hold a private respect for those who possess the capacity to handle themselves under pressure in the moment which can trigger them to use their unchallenged discretion alter outcomes.

Preparation

Before entering the fake court room make sure you get the first and last name of the magistrate, court clerk and police prosecutor. Ask at front counter.

Once inside the fake court room

Repeat all questions 3 times when

Every time the Magistrate/Judge does not answer let them know that they have just dishonoured themselves

eg. Have you not just dishonoured yourself by refusing to answer questions that verify the legitimacy of your claim to authority?

Establishing Honour

Leading question

Your name is [Insert Magistrates full name], is that correct?

Follow up questions

[Insert Magistrates full name], do you respect yourself enough to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth throughout these proceedings?

To consciously conceal the truth constitutes a premeditated act to pervert the course of justice is it not?

[Insert Magistrates full name], are you an honest man/woman?

For you [Insert Magistrates full name], to be in honour, does that not require you to be transparent and forthcoming with the truth at all times, without exception?

Do you [Insert Magistrates full name], recognise me as a living breathing conscious man/woman integrated in body, mind and soul?

Is this courthouse a private or public building? By public I mean property of the people for the purpose of facilitating the genuine rule of law as a service to the people.

Are you a public servant standing under the oath to defend and protect the genuine rule of law?

Do you [Insert Magistrates full name], acknowledge justice as a natural principle or an arbitrary mental construct?

If you believe justice to be an arbitrary mental construct, how can you legitimately claim to uphold or administer it?

If justice is a natural principle, it is a scientific matter to be learned and applied, therefore attempting to alter it through legislation is as absurd as trying to legislate changes to the principles of mathematics or chemistry. Do you deny this as a self-evident fact?

Do you deny that by citing legislation or institutions created by legislation as the source of your authority to prosecute innocent people for breaching legislation you are committing abhorrent crimes against the laws that govern existence?

Do you, [Insert Magistrate's full name], deny that by performing actions that result in harm to another sentient being, you are subjecting yourself to the natural consequences of violating the moral laws that govern your existence?

I require you explain how you [Insert Magistrates full name], can claim the title of 'your honour' while remaining silent on the fundamental principles required for honour.

[Insert Magistrates full name], in matters of legitimate law, remaining silent when questioned about elementary verifiable truths and validity of process, is considered an admission of guilt is it not? Do you agree or disagree?

Is it not incumbent upon you to recuse yourself from these proceedings at a minimum, now that it's been established on multiple occasions that your intent in these proceedings is to pervert the course of justice?

Moral consequences for criminal behaviour

To use coercion to actively deny access to the truth, is to act deceptively which is a transgression of the universal moral laws that govern existence thus requiring you to use the threat of organised force by squads of immoral order followers to ensure the imposition of your will over another sentient being equal to you under those very laws.

By staying on this course, you lock yourself into exhausting your precious energy resuscitating the lie—that as a fallible human, you have the moral right to forcibly dominate others and command their obedience. This constant drive to control others through coercion and deception places you in a state of hypervigilance, reliant on violence to sustain an unnatural power imbalance. Such behaviour is fundamentally opposed to the universal laws that support each individual's right to exercise free will and shape their own destiny. Until you align your behaviours with these immutable laws, you sabotage your own right to personal security and peaceful coexistence whilst simultaneously extinguishing all that is precious and sacred about the miracle of life itself.

I am appearing today to offer you an opportunity to start unburdening your conscience from exacerbating its inner torment which remains concealed from the public eye. The Karmic consequences for your actions hollow out your soul a little more with every passing day you participate in the miscarriage of justice. The hidden forces of nature that govern the consequences to deceitful behaviour are beyond your control and cannot be legislated out of existence.

I have chosen to appear today to take personal responsibility for calling out the deceit that plagues you, as required by the fabric of reality. By continuing to deny the self-evident truth after deceit has been exposed, you deepen your own inner torment and turmoil. You have the choice to either persist in using the machinery of government to inflict more suffering upon me or to cease doing so. However, you cannot absolve yourself of the karmic debt and moral culpability that come from refusing to align your behaviour with the laws of reality.

Speaking over you or cutting you off

Is it your intention to be belligerent by cutting me off and speaking over me in a hostile and aggressive manner?

Threat of removal

Did you just threaten me with violence for seeking to establish the authenticity of your claim to honour?

Using the threat of violent force to conceal the perversion of justice makes you liable for abuse of office and assault and battery. Do you [Insert Magistrates full name], deny that as fact in law?

Threats of imprisonment confinement

Is it your intention place me under duress as a means to activate my fight flight freeze response as a tactic to have me submit to your will by forcefully gaining consent?

Do you [Insert Magistrates full name], deny that the use of duress to force consent is an act of violence as coercion is one of the seven transgressions of the laws of natural law thus a crime against the immutable laws that govern your existence?

Do you deny that the use of threats to my safety and integrity are an act of belligerence which places you in dishonour?

Sovereign citizen accusations

Is it your professional practice employ the use of oxymorons and doublespeak in a feeble attempt at character assassination when being asked questions to prove the legitimacy of your authority?

Is it your intention to engage in slander and liable by utilising oxymorons and doublespeak to divert attention away from the fact that you are conducting a gross miscarriage of justice? Is that part of your job description?

Contempt of court accusations

Contempt of court applies only to proceedings conducted under the genuine rule of law. Are you claiming that this proceeding aligns with legem terrae, making this a court of conscience?

Establishing Truth

I've heard many people refer to you as your honour. What is your definition of behaving honourably? Is it to be forthcoming with full disclosure and transparency or remain cloaked in secrecy and concealment?

In order to impartially facilitate matters of law is it not your duty to uphold the truth at all times?

Do you [Insert Magistrates full name], agree that truth is logically consistent and coherent acting as the absolute standard by which reality is measured?

Is the defence of truth your highest virtue or have you been given the grant of immunity from speaking truth? If you're immune from the truth by whose decree?

Are you willing to give your word of honour that your highest priority is to act in the service of truth discovery as I have just defined?

Just for absolute clarity, is speaking truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth a standard you and your fellow court employees are exempt from or are you also bound by that same standard?

For truth to be the highest aspiration in this proceeding would that not mean that any avoidance by you to answering questions is avoidance of truth which is an admission of guilt and dishonour?

Establishing Law

Do you [Insert Magistrates full name], deny the existence of a sealed agreement that allows for the existence of government, provided that its agents defend and protect the administration of the genuine rule of law, to be conducted in courts of conscience where the judges are a jury of social equals?

Is the law being practiced here derived from the set of universal moral principles, that neither favour nor discriminate, to which all are equal regardless of title and stature?

To the best of my knowledge all matters of law require that justice must be seen to be done. Is that the indistinguishable principle you defend in this proceeding?

Any matters to do with authentic law are derived from the golden rule of 'Do unto others as you would have others do unto you.' Do you wish to deny this as a fact in law?

Do you [Insert Magistrates full name], deny all matters of authentic law require the presumption of innocent before proven guilty?

So if law is about equity where no man has authority over another man, please explain how the activities undertaken within this building can be classified as authentic law while you have elevated yourself into of having authority to sit in sole judgement over others.

Do you [Insert Magistrates full name], believe yourself to be an omniscient, omnipresent, omnipotent god that sits above the law because you imagine you can create law?