

[Your Name]
[Street Address]
[Town/Suburb State Postcode]

To: [Recipients Name] doing business as;

[Job Title] [Recipients Full Name]

of [Business/gov dept]

[Street Address]

[Suburb/Town State Postcode]

Date Notice Served: [Mailing date]

*Sent by recorded post.*

Dear [Recipients Full Name]

As previously stated with verifiable evidence provided, which to this day remains to be proven otherwise, Magna Carta 1215 is the sole constitution for the entire English Commonwealth realm of which Australia is a part. The security and restoration clause of Article 61 invoked on March 23, 2001 is the highest law ever written as it is the instruction manual that activates the people to restore the rule of law when national security has been compromised by treasonous government and its agents.

To deny Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 is to deny the peaceful restoration of the people’s sovereignty and reassertion of each man and woman’s natural born inalienable right to live in peaceful harmonious coexistence with one another, making all responsible for this gross act of treason accountable to the people under the terms of the agreement government employees are contracted to by way of oath of office that is in the service to the people. [Recipients Full Name] do you understand?

Under the English Commonwealth law, a peace treaty that brought men and women acting as agents and officeholders of government under the law in order for government to retain legitimate authority and consent of the people to govern along with preventing any future attempts by dictatorial regimes to deny the terms of the adhesion contract (constitution) that binds government codified back in 1215, it is the duty of every sovereign man and woman in the entire English Commonwealth realm to protect the laws that protect the sovereignty of the people, this includes you [Recipients Full Name], and to ignore or deny your duty under the law is to be an outlaw, making you guilty of the crime of sedition and by continuing to insist on pursuing unlawful demands once notified of treason, makes you [Recipients Full Name], guilty of High Treason. Do you [Recipients Full Name] deny this as a fact in law?

One could be forgiven for concluding that you are fully aware of this fact and that is why your name, wet ink signature and street address have been omitted from your correspondence. Do you [Recipients Full Name] deny that a lawful instrument must emanate from a physical address and bear the full name of the issuer, together with a wet ink signature?

I would like to remind you that the Penalty for Sedition at Common Law is Full Asset Stripping and Life in Prison. The Penalty for High Treason is full asset stripping and the gallows. You [Recipients Full Name] would be well advised to carefully consider your position before any more demands are sent from [Name of Business/gov dept].

You were informed in the Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure of your opportunity to, and I quote, “remedy this matter by law, amicably so as to save any future breach of the peace or torts being committed.” Your insistence to make default judgements then increasing the severity of the demand are not only acts of dishonour and outlawry, but make you personally liable for the tort of assault.

Assault includes the harm of mental and emotional distress caused by threats of loss of property by way of property seizure without right. Property is defined as that which is proper and exclusive to man including the product of his/her labour. The unlawful [state the specific type of demand] you keep escalating is/are being collected and placed in a case file as evidence of the severity of tort of assault outlining the harm caused to I [Your name] by threatening my inalienable and indefeasible right to my property. Your attempts at seizing the product of my labour exclusive to me and only me, is a moral abomination due to the severe transgressions against the underlying intelligence inherent in creation upon which you rely, not only for your survival but for the quality of your life. Do you [Recipients Full Name] claim to be above the force of creation?

I strongly urge you [Recipients Full Name] to consider how you proceed as any further demands will serve to escalate the torts for which you are already liable into an extensive list that includes battery, false imprisonment, trespass, conversion and detinue.

I would also like to remind you that under the law we are all liable for our actions and omissions, therefore “I was just doing my job” is no defence as evidenced at Nuremberg.

My Notices are meant to Inform and Educate and compel those sworn to protect the people’s sovereignty to uphold their Oaths of Office. I realise that you will not recognize my LAWFUL Notices as you are operating as a Foreign Corporation and have no Lawful Standing or Authority since the Invocation of Article 61 according to Constitutional Royal Protocol on March 23rd, 2001. My LAWFUL Notices CLEARLY State WITHOUT Malice, Vexation, or Ill Will.

Your failure to provide evidence to the contrary means that you have given your tacit agreement to 1215 Magna Carta is the English and Commonwealth Constitution that predates Parliament of which Australia is a part being fact in law yet in your correspondence you make claim to treasonous government legislation as being the law which is more evidence of sedition. I refer you to the 1559 Act of Supremacy as the Commonwealth Declaration of Rights, which is in effect today across the entire English Commonwealth realm.

The point you appear to be missing, [Recipients Full Name] is that while you keep quoting Acts and Statutes which you claim give you power and authority to abuse my inalienable rights, these were all rendered invalid or null and void by the invocation of Article 61 of 1215 Magna Carta on 23rd March 2001. I would urge you for your own sake to go back into the Notices, particularly the first, the Notice of Conditional Acceptance, and read very carefully the fully translated text of Article 61. Pay particular attention to the very last sentence thereof because this is where the whole matter hangs. It states:

**“And we shall procure nothing from anyone, directly or indirectly, whereby any part of these concessions and liberties might be revoked or diminished; and if any such things has been procured, let it be void and null, and we shall never use it personally or by another."**

That is the monarch speaking, on behalf of all future governments, making a promise to be observed in perpetuity that neither they nor any of their agents shall ever procure any thing which might revoke or diminish the rights of anyone in the realm to follow the instructions contained in Article 61, which is to engage in full lawful dissent if the monarch breaches the promises made elsewhere in the Magna Carta. There was no Parliament at that time, which is why Parliament has no power to make any laws which amend or breach the Constitution, which is a treaty between the monarch, his peers the Barons, and the rest of the people. If Parliament does this, it is automatic treason.

Clearly, an Act or Statute of Parliament qualifies under the definition of “such things”, or as a “thing”, so any such which attempt to prevent people from honouring their Royal Command (also described in Article 61) to “distress and distrain the Crown in any way they can”, are in direct disobedience to the Head of State’s express command and are therefore treasonous as well.

Your problem [Recipients Full Name, is that Article 61 **WAS** invoked on the aforementioned date, and that the process is a matter of public record. You were invited to correct me if I was mistaken, upon which I would comply with your requests. But you have not rebutted that claim at all, despite having been given several opportunities to do so. That failure means that as my Notices, unlike those emanating from your office or agents, are LAWFUL INSTRUMENTS, the statements concerning Article 61 now stand as **facts in law**. Do you deny, [Recipients Full Name, that this is a fact in law?

The consequence of all this, [Recipients Full Name is that your claims of authority and threats of punishment, some of which may have already been expedited (or are about to be), constitute crimes of an extremely serious nature, as described above, for which your office, legal title, badge, or uniform offer you no protection whatever. You are liable in your PERSONAL and UNLIMITED CAPACITY for any harm you have already done or are intending to do. Pleading ignorance will not absolve you; you have been given ample opportunity to behave honourably and have chosen, for whatever reason, not to do so. You will now be held to account.

Should you persist to carry on with your criminal demands the next correspondence you receive will explain the steps that will be taken to remedy the wrongs committed by you, against me, the victim of multiple torts, and that there is a lawful process for remedy. I strongly urge you to study this document if and when you receive it, and very thoughtfully consider what disastrous effects the application of this process would likely have upon your life. One effect would be that the publication of your crimes in the manner prescribed, all perfectly lawful, would be prima facie evidence of your malfeasance in public office, an offence which under the English Commonwealth Constitution carries prison terms of up to twenty-five years. You might want to ponder how long those in authority over you in your position would consider that position tenable. Bear in mind that if they decided to turn a blind eye, as the saying goes, that they might be considered complicit in your actions, and placed at hazard themselves.

Likewise, if you decided to cease harassing me yourself, and choose to pass my ‘case’ to a colleague, you would be placing them at hazard also, for the law provides for several tortfeasors to be dealt with by the same action, when they have engaged in the same specific torts.

As each human being has the inalienable right to take action to minimize harm to themselves, I might decide to pay your unlawful demands “Under duress”. However, that would simply add the tort of extortion to the existing list of your offences, so you might want to consider withdrawing your threats for that reason also, since for every one of these offences at law there are relevant damages. Each of these makes the lien more onerous and increases the likelihood of your removal from your place.

It may well come as a shock when you complete your due diligence and discover for yourself that my statements are true and that you have been misled by your overseers. However, we are each responsible at law for our own actions or omissions, which makes it a dangerous practice to merely believe whatever we are told without checking. Your actions have caused me great alarm and distress, financial disadvantage, while being a drain on my precious time and a strain on my resources, all without lawful basis or authority, therefore, justice requires you to make some amends, irrespective of your opinion.

I urge you for the last time, do NOT persist with your criminal acts; the consequences of your transgressions against the universal principles of morality will haunt you by sheer fact that they have been your own doing.

Signed: