

[Your Name]

[Street Address]

[Town/Suburb State Postcode]

To: [Recipients full name] doing business as;

[Name followed by Job title]

[Business/gov dept]

[Street address]

[Town/Suburb State Postcode]

Date: [mailing date]

Dear [Recipients full name]

I kindly thank you for your Treasonous reply dated [insert date].

I am required by law to inform you that by choosing to ignore the treason evidence supplied to you [Recipients full name], in favour of persisting to enforce demands without providing the authority upon which you rely, is High Treason against the sovereign people of this land. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

Do you [Recipients full name] deny that we the people only ever agreed to live under a system of governance in service to the people which was codified in 1215 and sealed in perpetuity meaning decision making institutions that affect the lives of we the people must conform to the principles of universal morality to this day?

Do you deny the act of switching from a system of service to corporate governance in secret is a conspiracy to commit treason of which my notices serve as evidence that you have made a conscious choice to conceal from we the people in order to enforce with impunity?

I would like to remind you that the Penalty for Treason at Common Law is full asset stripping and the gallows. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

Furthermore, to hold authority in a legitimate system of service to the people that we the people agreed to live under, requires an officer of the crown to swear an oath of office. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

The oath of office is a pledge each officer of the crown must take in order to have the authority granted them by we the people, as the oath is a statement of intention to make decisions on behalf of the people that fall within the confines of universal morality. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

A public servant must be able to recite and or provide their oath of office to we the people upon request. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

A public servant must be able to clearly define the meaning of objective morality and justify how their decisions fall within the confines of objective morality upon being questioned by their employer we the people. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

For your demands to have the authority of a system of service I [Your Name] require you [Recipients full name] to produce in writing with your name, legibly written and signed with your wet ink signature, in 10 days upon receipt of this letter your definition of objective morality followed by a clear and succinct explanation of how your demands are in defence of objective morality, proving beyond a shadow of a doubt that you are operating under the authority we the people granted to government to live under a system of service.

I [Your name] require you [Recipients full name] to send me a copy of your oath of office within 10 working days to verify that you are indeed a lawful officer of the crown making decisions on behalf of we the people that fall within the confines of the universal principles of morality.

Should you [Recipients full name] fail to adhere to the terms of the contract that binds agents and officers of the crown (public servants) by providing your oath of office in writing that proves you have taken a pledge to conduct yourself within the confines of the universal principles of morality, your silence shall be taken as evidence that you [Recipients full name] are acting immorally therefore are criminally liable for violations of the universal principles of morality along with being personally liable for crimes against we the people while masquerading as holder of public office. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

To deny Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 is to deny the peaceful restoration of the people’s sovereignty and reassertion of each man and woman’s natural born inalienable right to live in peaceful harmonious coexistence with one another, as expressed in the maxim of law “It is against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own property”. Do you, [Recipients Full Name], deny this as a fact in law?

The intention to seize the product of my labor, exclusively mine, violates both moral and natural law, as recognised by the maxim "all are equal under the law." Anyone who tries to supersede or abrogate these laws is in violation of the law and is morally culpable for the consequences. Do you [Recipients Full Name] deny that your actions are in direct defiance of the both Moral and Natural Law to which you are bound regardless of your opinion or method of justification?

Law is defined as every man and woman’s birth right and the only authority granted to legislation is to act as the written form of preserving inalienable birth rights which is the intended meaning of the word legal. Do you, [Recipients Full Name], deny this as a fact in law?

At this point I wish to remind you of the maxim in law that the (il)legal system you have threatened to action, to force compliance to your will. The maxim states “He who does not deny, admits.” This means that by not denying something, one is acknowledging or admitting to its existence or truth.

I [Your name], have done my due diligence and collected evidence (see Exhibit 1) which has merit in presentable or material fact, that [Business/gov dept] is a private corporate business issuing commercial contracts [under the color of law].

Upon failing to supply your oath of office and definition of objective morality then for your claims of authority over I [Your Name] by quoting treasonous legislation you [Recipients full name] are required to produce the contract where we the people agreed to be governed by foreign corporations that bear no allegiance to we the people, in order to prove your claims of authority has the consent of we the people. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

Should you admit that you are indeed operating as a for profit corporation under commercial contract law, registered for GST, you [Recipients full name] are required to produce the adhesion contract with my wet ink signature that binds I [Your Name] a living constitutional subject of the English Commonwealth Realm standing in defence of the universal principles of morality as enshrined in the only adhesion contract that grants government authority to govern according to the laws of morality, to the demands made by your foreign corporation. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

Without first producing said contract exists then you [Recipients full name] are required to provide evidence of how a debt to [Business/gov dept] a foreign corporation with no authority to deal in these matters can be due and true. Do you [Recipients full name] deny this as a fact in law?

Should you fail to provide evidence as stated above that proves the legitimacy of your demands that a debt is owed to [business/gov dept], I am obliged to inform you that I am required by law to collect further evidence to place in your case file of torts of; threats with menaces, extortion, harassment, assault, conversion and detinue, that will not only be presented at your trial before a jury of the people but exposes you to being subject to the commercial lien process up until that date arrives. Do you understand?

Consider this letter as notice that should I [Your Name], continue to receive any further unlawful demands emanating from your office you [Recipients full name] will be issued with an explanation of the lien process that explains the consequences to you in your private and unlimited capacity.

Signed: